Computed Micro-Tomographic Evaluation of Glide Path with Nickel-Titanium Rotary PathFile in Maxillary First Molars Curved Canals
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Abstract

Introduction: X-ray computed micro-tomography scanning allows high-resolution 3-dimensional imaging of small objects. In this study, micro-CT scanning was used to compare the ability of manual and mechanical glide path to maintain the original root canal anatomy.

Methods: Eight extracted upper first permanent molars were scanned at the TOMOLAB station at ELETTRA Synchrotron Light Laboratory in Trieste, Italy, with a microfocus cone-beam geometry system. A total of 2,400 projections on 360° have been acquired at 100 kV and 80 μA, with a focal spot size of 8 μm. Buccal root canals of each specimen (n = 16) were randomly assigned to PathFile (P) or stainless-steel K-file (K) to perform glide path at the full working length. Specimens were then microscanned at the apical level (A) and at the point of the maximum curvature level (C) for post-treatment analyses. Curvatures of root canals were classified as moderate (≤35°) or severe (≥40°). The ratio of diameter ratios (RDRs) and the ratio of cross-sectional areas (RAs) were assessed. For each level of analysis (A and C), 2 balanced 2-way factorial analyses of variance (P < .05) were performed to evaluate the significance of the instrument factor and of canal curvature factor as well as the interactions of the factors both with RDRs and RAs.

Results: Specimens in the K group had a mean curvature of 35.4° ± 11.5°; those in the P group had a curvature of 38° ± 9.9°. The instrument factor (P and K) was extremely significant (P < .001) for both the RDR and RA parameters, regardless of the point of analysis. Conclusions: Micro-CT scanning confirmed that NiTi rotary PathFile instruments preserve the original canal anatomy and cause less canal aberrations. (J Endod 2012;38:389–393)
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Nickel-titanium (NiTi) rotary instruments reduce operator fatigue, the time required for shaping, and the risk of procedural errors associated with root canal instrumentation (1, 2). Superalasticity properties enable NiTi rotary files to be placed in curved canals exerting less lateral forces on the canal walls and maintaining the original canal shape (3, 4). NiTi rotary tools have unique design properties in terms of cross-sectional shape, taper, tip, and the number and angle of flutes. These properties improve the shaping process without creating canal aberrations, particularly in narrow and severely curved canals (2). Preserving root canal anatomy represents a major issue difficult to overcome. Despite this, several studies showed that shaping outcomes with NiTi rotary instruments are generally predictable (5, 6). Coronal enlargement and manual or mechanical preflaring to create a glide path was shown to be the first step for a safer use of NiTi rotary instrumentation because it prevents fractures of torsion instruments and shaping aberrations (7–9). Recently, NiTi rotary PathFiles (PFs) (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) were introduced to improve mechanical glide path (7, 10). These instruments are more capable of maintaining the original canal anatomy and cause less aberrations and modifications of canal curvature compared with manual preflaring performed with stainless-steel K-files (KFs) (7). Of note, clinician’s expertise did not appear to have a significant impact on outcome (7). A number of techniques were used to evaluate endodontic instrumentation (3), such as plastic models (11), histological sections (12), scanning electron microscopic studies (13), serial sectioning with Bramante technique (14), radiographic comparisons (15), and silicon impressions of instrumented canals (16). X-ray micro-computed tomography (CT) scanners are based on cone-beam geometry and are optimized to obtain nondestructive high-resolution (from 1 to 10s of micrometers) 3-dimensional (3D) imaging of small objects. The main component of this scanner is the microfocus x-ray source featuring a spot size of <50 μm (usually only a few microns). Differently from the medical CT scan, the specimen is mounted on a high-precision rotation stage and revolves around its own axis, whereas the x-ray source and the detector are steady. The 3D reconstruction of the data is usually based on the Feldkamp algorithm (17). The micro-CT scan has recently emerged as a powerful tool for evaluation of glide path (7, 10).
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tool for the evaluation of root canal morphology. This noninvasive technique allows a detailed 3D evaluation of the effects of canal preparation on anatomy (18). It also allows the superimposition of 3D renderings of preoperative and postoperative canal system with a high resolution. In this study, we aimed to compare the ability of the manual and mechanical glide path to maintain the original root canal anatomy by using the micro-CT technique.

Materials and Methods

Eight extracted upper first permanent molars with a fully formed apex that had not undergone prior endodontic treatment were used. After debriding the root surface, specimens were immersed in a 5% solution of NaOCl (Niclor 5; OGNA, Muggiò, Italy) for 1 hour and then stored in saline solution.

Micro-CT Analysis

Specimens were mounted on a stable support and then scanned at the TOMOLAB station (19) at ELETTRA Synchrotron Light Laboratory in Trieste, Italy. The system is based on a cone-beam geometry with the following characteristics: (1) a sealed tungsten microfocus x-ray tube, with a focal spot size ranging from 5 to 40 μm, an energy ranging from 40 to 130 kV, and a maximum current of 300 μA and (2) a water-cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera with a large field of view (49.9 mm × 35.2 mm) and a small pixel size (12.5 × 12.5 μm). A total number of 2,400 projections on 360° at 100 kV and 80 μA, a focal spot size of 8 μm, with a focus-object and focus-detector distance of 110 mm and 300 mm, respectively, in a timeframe of 2 hours 32 minutes for each specimen was acquired.

Axial images were reconstructed by means of Cobra 7.2 software (Exxim, Pleasanton, CA) and subsequently elaborated for artifacts removal using PORE3D (20), a software developed at the ELETTRA research center. High-resolution raw 16-bit images were converted to an 8-bit TIFF file format; the whole stack gives a volume of around 1,000 × 1,000 × 1,000 isotropic voxels featuring a 9.2-μm side length. Each image stack was first equalized by ImageJ 1.43u 64 bit (a freeware software by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and then processed by Amira 5.3.3 64 bit edition (Visage Imaging, Richmond, Australia) for volume registration and cutting plane selection. The registration algorithm was based on the mean square difference between the gray values of the 2 image sets. The alignment steps have been set to 0.9 μm with a tolerance of 0.0001 units on the voxel intensity.

Each root canal path was studied dynamically by examining both high-resolution 3D rendering and orthogonal cross-sections. Root sections orthogonal to the canal axis were set at 2 different levels: at 1 mm from the canal apex (A) and at the point of maximum curvature (C). The cutting plane orientation was the same for both the pre- and post-treatment samples. This axial sections have been imported in TIFF format and analyzed with ImageJ to measure area, perimeter, and diameters (major and minor, orthogonal to one another) by using an automatic thresholding algorithm to avoid manual errors. Measurements were performed twice by the same operator (intraobserver control) and once by another operator (interobserver control).

Specimen Preparation

After access cavity preparation, the working length (WL) was established under microscopic vision (OPMI Pro Ergo; Carl Zeiss,
Oberkochen, Germany) at 10× magnification when the tip of a #10 KF was visible at the root tip. Buccal root canals (MB1 and DB) of each specimen were randomly assigned to the PF test group or stainless-steel KF control group.

In the PF test group, the mechanical glide path was performed by using Glyde (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) as the lubricating agent with NiTi rotary instruments PF 1, 2, and 3 (Dentsply Maillefer) by using an endodontic engine (X-Smart, Dentsply Maillefer) with a 16:1 contra angle at the suggested setting (300 rpm on display, 5 Ncm) at the WL.

In the KF control group, the manual glide path was carried out by using Glyde as the lubricating agent with a stainless-steel KF #08-10-12-15-17-20 (Dentsply Maillefer) used with a “feed it in and pull” motion at the WL. During treatment, irrigation with 5% NaOCl (Niclor 5; OGNA, Muggiò, Italy) was performed with a 30-G needle syringe for a total of 10 mL. Root canals were dried with sterile paper points, and specimens were then microscanned as previously described for post-treatment analysis and comparisons (Fig. 1).

The angles of curvature of the canals were calculated and classified as moderate (M, ≤35°) or severe (S, ≥40°). To evaluate canal modifications induced by preparation, 2 different geometric parameters were considered for the statistical analysis: (1) the ratio of diameter ratios (RDRs) (ie, RDR = [D/d]post/[D/d]pre, where [D/d]post is the postpreparation ratio of the major diameter [D] to the minor diameter [d], and [D/d]pre is the prepreparation ratio of D to d and (2) the ratio of cross-sectional areas (RA) (ie, RA = A_post/A_pre, where A_post and A_pre are the postpreparation and the prepreparation cross-sectional areas, respectively).

For each level of analysis (A and C), 2 balanced 2-way factorial analyses of variance were performed to evaluate the significance of the instrument factor (PF and KF) and the canal curvature factor (M and S) at the 2 levels as well as the interactions of these factors both with the RDR and RA. To define RDR and RA parameters, 36 and 20 independent repetitions for each treatment combination were performed, respectively. The significance level was set to 5% (P < .05). All statistical analyses were performed by using the Minitab 15 software package (Minitab Inc, State College, PA).

**Results**

Specimens in the KF group had a mean curvature of 35.4° ± 11.5° (minimum = 20°, maximum = 55°), whereas specimens in the PF group had a mean curvature of 38° ± 9.9° (minimum = 25°, maximum = 55°). Four balanced 2-way factorial analyses of variance were performed, and the statistical significance of factors and interactions was evaluated by determining the following 12 P values: instrument factor: at point A, P < .001 for the RDR parameter and P < .001 for the RA parameter and at point C, P < .001 for both RDR and RA parameters; curvature factor: at point A, P < .001 for the RDR parameter and P = .751 for the RA parameter and at point C, P = .045 for the RDR parameter and P = .011 for the RA parameter; and instrument-curve interaction: at point A, P = .553 for the RDR parameter and P = .037 for the RA parameter and at point C, P < .001 for the RDR parameter and P = .25 for the RA parameter. Therefore, the instrument factor was found to be extremely significant both for the RDR and RA parameters regardless of the point of analysis. The interval plots for the RDR parameter (Fig. 2) and for the RA parameter (Fig. 2B) graphically confirm statistical significance of instrument factor. When PF is used, both the RDR and the RA parameters are closer to a value of 1, which means that canal modifications are statistically significantly reduced. The curvature factor significantly influenced both RDR and RA parameters except for RA assessed at the point of analysis A (Fig. 2). Finally, the interaction between factors significantly influenced the RDR and RA, again except for the RDR assessed at the point of analysis A (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Previous studies showed that micro-CT scans used to evaluate root canals prepared with NiTi hand or rotary instruments versus stainless-steel endodontic instruments provided a nondestructive and easy-to-repeat method (3, 21). Micro-CT scanning has been successfully used to evaluate the performance of ProTaper NiTi instruments (DentsplyMaillefer) on shaping root canals despite varied anatomies (22). Data obtained with micro-CT scans enable the identification of morphologic changes associated with different biomechanical preparations including canal transportation, dentin removal, and final canal preparation (18, 23, 24). A major advantage of micro-CT scanning is the possibility to obtain highly accurate evaluation of root canal shape by the superimposition and measurement of 3D renderings (6, 18, 25). In the present study, micro-CT analysis confirmed the findings of a previous study showing that NiTi rotary PFs are more capable of maintaining original canal anatomy and cause less canal aberrations during instrumentation (7). Moreover, the impact of the instrument factor was significant in almost all interactions to canal curvature and point-of-

---

**Figure 2.** The interval plot for the RDR (A) and RA (B) parameters; 95% confidence intervals for the mean. A, canal apex; C, point of maximum canal curvature; K, K-file instrument; M, moderate curvature; P, PathFile instrument; S, severe curvature.
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