Postoperative Pain after Manual and Mechanical Glide Path: A Randomized Clinical Trial
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Abstract

Introduction: This prospective randomized clinical trial evaluated the incidence of postoperative pain after glide path performed with PathFile (PF) (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) versus stainless-steel K-file (KF). Methods: In 149 subjects, the mechanical glide path was performed with nickel-titanium (NiTi) rotary PF; in 146 subjects, the manual glide path was performed with stainless-steel KFs. Postoperative pain, analgesics consumption, and the number of days to complete pain resolution were evaluated in the following 7 days. An analysis of variance model for repeated measures was used to compare the variation of pain-scale values (P < .05). The Student’s t test for continuous variables normally distributed, the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test for the nonnormally distributed variables, and the chi-square test for dichotomous variables were used (P < .05). Despite homogeneous baseline conditions at diagnosis, tooth type, pain prevalence, and scores, the postoperative pain prevalence curves in PF group evidenced a more favorable trend in terms of time to pain resolution compared with the KF group (P = .004). The difference was also evident in the model adjusted for analgesics consumption in both groups (P = .012). The mean analgesics intake per subject was significantly higher in the KF group (3.7 ± 2.2) compared with the PF group (2 ± 1.7) (P < .001). Mean pain stop values were also significantly higher in the KF group (2.7) compared with the PF group (1.7) (P = .001). Conclusions: The glide path with NiTi Rotary PF leads to less postoperative pain and faster symptom resolution. (J Endod 2012;38:32–36)
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Patients' medical and dental status and history, demographic data, and socioeconomic information were collected before the dental examination. Intraoral examinations and data collection were performed by a single examiner using 3.5 × Galilean loupes. The examiner was randomly selected among the clinical assistant professors at the Department of Endodontics, all standardized through a case-series presentation.

For each patient, pulpal and periradicular status was assessed through vitality thermal and electric pulp tests (Diagnostic Unit; Sybron, Orange, CA), palpation, and percussion. Periodontal charting was also recorded. Periapical radiographic examination was performed (Planmeca Intra, Helsinki, Finland) using Rinn XCP devices (Rinn Corp, Elgin, IL) and PSP imaging plates and processed and archived by a dedicated scanner and software interface (OpTime, Soredex, Finland). Teeth were classified as having lesions of endodontic origin (LEO) when a loss of lamina dura and a periodontal ligament enlargement of more than 2 mm were present. Clinical and radiologic data were analyzed by 3 blind examiners selected from the clinical assistant professors at the Department of Endodontics. In case of nonunanimous opinion, the majority opinion was accepted. The examiners were previously calibrated on the evaluation criteria through a case series presentation, and the concordance among examiners was analyzed by the Fleiss' K score, until interexaminer reliability (κ > 0.70) was expected.

The subjects were then assigned to a different operator randomly selected among the assistant professors at the Department of Endodontics. Twenty-one expert operators were involved. After local anesthesia with 2% mepivacaine with adrenaline 1:100,000 and isolation of the tooth with the rubber dam, the access cavity was performed. Afterwards, patients were randomly allocated to the 1 of the 2 treatment arms for the creation of the glide path. Root canal treatment was completed 1 week later.

In the PF test group, the mechanical glide path was performed by using Glyde (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) as a lubricating agent, with Ni-Ti rotary instruments PF 1, 2, and 3 (Dentsply Maillefer), taper 0.02, tip size, respectively, ISO 13, 16, and 19, by using an endodontic engine (X-Smart, Dentsply Maillefer) with 16:1 contra angle at the suggested setting (300 rpm on display, 5 Ncm) at the electronic WL. The electronic WL was recorded with an apex locator (Diagnostic Unit, Sybron, Orange CA) and checked twice during the treatment. The initial WL was recorded with a #10 stainless-steel KF colorinox (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) during canal scouting, before glide path. A second WL was recorded before using the PF 3 with a #17 KF.

In the KF control group, the manual glide path was performed by using Glyde as a lubricating agent, with stainless-steel KF colorinox #08-10-12-15-17-20 (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), used with a “feed it in and pull” motion according to Ruddle’s technique at the electronic WL (17). In this hand instrumentation technique, the file proceeds apically with a −1/4 +1/4 motion to the point of resistance and then is gently pulled out for the debris removal. The procedure is repeated until reaching the WL for each file of the sequence. The electronic WL was recorded as previously described and checked twice during the treatment. The initial WL was recorded with a #10 KF during canal scouting before glide path. A second WL was recorded at the #17 KF stage.

During treatment, irrigation with 5% NaOCl (Nicolor 5, OGNA, Muggio, Italy) was performed with a 30-G needle syringe for a total of 10 mL. Root canals were dried with sterile paper points, and then a cotton pellet and a temporary filling (Cavit; 3M ESPE, St Paul, MN) were placed. Patients were then dismissed and received postoperative instructions and a prescription for optional analgesics. They also received a 5-level pain scale form for postoperative pain severity evaluation (Table 1). The evaluation was done bidaily (sa and ss) for 1 week, and patients were required to keep record of their analgesics intake. The time (in days) necessary to achieve a complete pain resolution (pain stop value) was also assessed.

A statistical analysis was performed on the data collected. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality was used to analyze data distribution. A suitable analysis of variance model for repeated measures (2-group comparison) was used to compare the variation of pain-scale values reported in each of the 7 days in the 2 groups. To avoid an excessive β error, no correction for multiple comparisons was applied to the significance levels presented. The Student’s t test was used for continuous variables normally distributed (ie, analgesics intake and pain stop values) and the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test for the nonnormally distributed variables (pain scores at

| Table 1. 5-Level Pain Scale to Evaluate Pain Severity: Reference Values Given to Patients |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0  No pain                  | The patient feels well                                      |
| 1  Slight pain              | If the patient is distracted, he/she does not feel the pain |
| 2  Mild pain                | The patient feels moderate pain, even while concentrating on some other activity |
| 3  Severe pain              | The patient feels very unwell but nevertheless can continue with ordinary activities of daily life |
| 4  Very severe pain         | The patient is forced to give up ordinary activities of daily life |
| 5  Extremely severe pain    | The patient is no longer able to perform any type of activity and needs to lie down and rest |

For each patient, pulpal and periradicular status was assessed through vitality thermal and electric pulp tests (Diagnostic Unit; Sybron, Orange, CA), palpation, and percussion. Periodontal charting was also recorded. Periapical radiographic examination was performed (Planmeca Intra, Helsinki, Finland) using Rinn XCP devices (Rinn Corp, Elgin, IL) and PSP imaging plates and processed and archived by a dedicated scanner and software interface (OpTime, Soredex, Finland). Teeth were classified as having lesions of endodontic origin (LEO) when a loss of lamina dura and a periodontal ligament enlargement of more than 2 mm were present. Clinical and radiologic data were analyzed by 3 blind examiners selected from the clinical assistant professors at the Department of Endodontics. In case of nonunanimous opinion, the majority opinion was accepted. The examiners were previously calibrated on the evaluation criteria through a case series presentation, and the concordance among examiners was analyzed by the Fleiss’ K score, until interexaminer reliability (κ > 0.70) was expected.
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Results

Three hundred ninety subjects were enrolled in this study. Overall, 16.3% of patients in the PF arm and 19.3% in the KF arm were lost to follow-up, 10 patients in the PF group and 15 in the KF control group did not present at the second visit, 12 subjects in the PF arm and 7 in the KF arm had incomplete data, and 7 of 156 subjects in the PF group (4.5%) and 13 of 159 subjects (8.2%) in the KF group experienced a postoperative flare-up with no statistical differences between groups ($P = .18$). These patients required an unscheduled re-intervention during the observation period and were excluded from data analysis. Data analysis was performed on 295 subjects (mean age, 42; range, 16–70 years). Patients’ characteristics were evenly distributed between the 2 arms. One hundred forty-nine patients were allocated to the PF group; 24.8% presented with a diagnosis of asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis, 32.8% with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis, and 42.4% had pulp necrosis (46.1% being symptomatic and positive to percussion test with a mean pain score value of 2.5, 73.2% having a LEO). One hundred forty-six patients were allocated to the KF group; 23.4% presented with diagnosis of asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis, 29.4% with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis, and 47.2% had pulp necrosis (55.1% being symptomatic and positive to percussion test with a mean pain score value of 2.1; 56.5% having a LEO). The statistical analysis did not show significant differences between groups ($P = .12$). Forty-five subjects in the PF arm and 52 in the KF arm used analgesics ($P = .55$). The mean pain score value was 2.1; 56.5% having a LEO. In the PF group, 81.2% of teeth were multirooted, and 18.8% were single rooted. The respective figures in the KF group were 78.1% and 21.9%. The prevalence of pain at baseline was 57% in the PF arm and 61% in the KF arm ($P = .39$). The mean pain score at baseline was 2.5 ± 1.53 in the PF group and 2.31 ± 1.35 in the KF group ($P = .12$).

Postoperative Pain, Analgesics Intake, and Pain Stop Values

Postoperative pain prevalence curves (Fig. 1) showed a more favorable time trend in the PF group compared with the KF group ($P = .004$). The more marked steepness of the PF curve evidenced a faster resolution of the pain symptoms, when present, after treatment. The difference between the 2 arms was more evident in the first 4 days. It attenuated in the following days until an overlap of 95% confidence interval became evident with no significant differences between groups at 1 week as shown in Figure 1.

In both groups, the time to pain resolution adjusted for analgesics consumption was significantly different ($P = .012$). Forty-five subjects in the PF arm and 52 in the KF arm used analgesics ($P = .3$). The mean analgesics intake per subject was significantly higher in the KF group (3.7 ± 2.2) compared with the PF group (2 ± 1.7) ($P < .001$). Overall, the pain stop value (in days) was also significantly larger in the KF group (2.7) compared with the PF group (1.7) ($P = .001$). This difference was still evident in the subgroup analysis excluding subjects with no pain at baseline ($P = .009$).

Discussion

The primary aim of this study was to investigate the influence of manual versus mechanical glide path on the incidence of postoperative pain. Outcomes were improved in the group in which the glide path was created with NiTi Rotary PF.

Although interappointment flare-up is uncommon, postoperative pain is relatively frequent also when the treatment is appropriately performed, and patients should be informed about this risk (4). Previous studies reported different postoperative pain incidences ranging from 1.4% to 16% and showed that age, sex, tooth type, pulpal status, the presence of a sinus tract stoma, allergies, and preoperative pain play a fundamental role (18). In a recent systematic review, postoperative pain prevalence at 24 hours was 40% and markedly decreased during the first 2 days after treatment, dropping to 10% or less after 7 days (3). The same trend was observed in our study; however, the NiTi Rotary PF technique led to significantly better outcomes. Despite the homogeneous baseline conditions at diagnosis, tooth type, pain prevalence, and severity, the postoperative pain curves and pain stop values in
the PF group showed a more favorable trend in terms of time to pain resolution compared with the KF group. No differences were found between the 2 groups in the prevalence of subjects assuming analgesics during the post-treatment period. However, patients in the KF group in which the glide path was performed with hand files showed a significantly higher analgesic tablets consumption per individual.

Mechanical, chemical, or microbial injuries to the periradicular tissues are frequent causes of pain complication (19). Indeed, most cases of postoperative pain are caused by acute periradicular inflammation, such as acute periodontitis or acute periradicular abscess secondary to intracanal procedure (20). Periapical chronic inflammation may be adapted to the irritant and may exist without pain or swelling. However, new irritants, such as infected debris from the root canal system, may induce acute inflammatory response known as alteration of the local adaption syndrome of the tissues to applied irritants (21, 22). In this study, both groups were substantially homogeneous at diagnosis and had similar preoperative clinical conditions. The PF group showed a significantly higher prevalence of preoperative chronic apical periodontitis. Despite this unfavorable preoperative prognostic factor, the post-treatment time to pain resolution trend of this group was better than that of the KF group. This suggests a positive impact of the NiTi Rotary instrumentation for the creation of the glide path even in the presence of LEOS.

The great elasticity of the NiTi alloy has permitted the increasing use of mechanical instruments for root canal shaping (23). NiTi tools enable a more centered canal preparation with less transportation and incidence of canal aberrations (24). Instrumentation techniques involving a sort of rotational action usually cause less extrusion of debris than manual techniques with a linear filing movement (25). It has been shown that the amount of debris from the apical foramen produced with step-back technique instrumentation (2.58 mg) was greater than debris produced with other instrumentation methods, such as NiTi rotary instrumentation (<0.50 mg) (26). Moreover, ProTaper rotary instrumentation removes dential debris better than the hand step-back technique (27). In our study, glide path with hand stainless-steel KFs was performed with a “feed it in and pull” motion according to Ruddle’s technique (17) at the electronic WL. Compared with the push and pull filing motion, which is known to maximize the extrusion of debris, this technique appears to facilitate the suspension of the debris in the irrigating solution (17) and therefore minimizes the risk of post-treatment pain (17). However, the PF technique is performed with only 3 NiTi rotary files that quickly reach WL. Compared with the manual glide path, the number of files reaching the apical foramen and the time of apical instrumentation are dramatically increased. This may be attributed to the less favorable pain-related outcomes of the manual technique.

Canal scouting and preflaring are the first phases of canal instrumentation. They are fundamental for the safer use of NiTi rotary instrumentation because they ensure a root canal–smoothed path with a larger or at least equal diameter compared with noncutting NiTi instruments’ tip size (28). In the preflaring phase, procedural difficulties or errors are more frequent, and the amount of extrusion of debris is also higher (29). NiTi rotary PFs have been recently introduced by Dentsply Maillefer for mechanical preflaring. The approach with NiTi rotary PFs showed to be less invasive and less technique sensitive. In simulated canals, it has been shown that clinicians’ expertise seemed not to play a significant role on shaping outcomes because both endodontic experts as well as inexpert clinicians achieved similar results (16).

Furthermore, the amount of debris from apical foramen depends on the mechanical instrumentation’s properties (30). The use of these tools may also reduce the frequency and intensity of postoperative complications, such as pain or flare-ups. In this study, the prevalence and severity of postoperative pain were measured through a multilevel pain scale. This method may have some limits in terms of objectivity considering the heterogeneity of personal character. However, previous studies argued that this method can be considered adequately reliable (31). In conclusion, within the limits of this study, our findings suggest that performing a glide path with hand instrumentation may have a significant impact on individual quality of life in terms of postoperative pain, and the use of NiTi rotary instrumentation may be rather beneficial.
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