

Energy Consumption of ProTaper Next X1 after Glide Path with PathFiles and ProGlider

Elio Berutti, MD, DDS,* Mario Alovisi, DDS,* Michele Angelo Pastorelli, MS, PhD,[†] Giorgio Chiandussi, MS, PhD,[‡] Nicola Scotti, DDS,* and Damiano Pasqualini, DDS*

Abstract

Introduction: Instrument failure caused by excessive torsional stress can be controlled by creating a manual or mechanical glide path. The ProGlider single-file system (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was recently introduced to perform a mechanical glide path. This study was designed to compare the effect of a glide path performed with PathFiles (Dentsply Maillefer) and ProGlider on torque, time, and pecking motion required for ProTaper Next X1 (Dentsply Maillefer) to reach the full working length in simulated root canals.

Methods: Forty Endo Training Blocks (Dentsply Maillefer) were used. Twenty were prepared with a mechanical glide path using PathFiles 1 and 2 (the PathFile group), and 20 were prepared with a mechanical glide path using a ProGlider single file (the ProGlider group). All samples were shaped with ProTaper Next X1 driven by an endodontic motor connected to a digital wattmeter. The required torque for root canal instrumentation was analyzed by evaluating the electrical power consumption of the endodontic engine. Electric power consumption (mW/h), elapsed time (seconds), and number of pecking motions required to reach the full working length with ProTaper Next X1 were calculated. Differences among groups were analyzed with the parametric Student *t* test for independent data ($P < .05$). **Results:** Elapsed time and electric power consumption were significantly different between groups ($P = .0001$ for both). ProGlider appears to perform more efficiently than PathFiles in decreasing electric power consumption of ProTaper Next X1 to reach the full working length. **Conclusions:** This study confirmed the ability of ProGlider to reduce stress in ProTaper Next X1 during shaping through a glide path and preliminary middle and coronal preflaring. (*J Endod* 2014;40:2015–2018)

Key Words

Electric power consumption, glide path, nickel-titanium, nickel-titanium rotary instrumentation, ProGlider

Nickel-titanium (NiTi) rotary instruments were introduced to reduce operator tiredness, shaping time, and the risk of procedural errors when performing root canal shaping (1). Although several studies have shown that shaping outcomes with NiTi rotary instruments are mostly predictable (1–3), mechanical failure is a major concern (4, 5). The life of an NiTi rotary instrument is proportional to its operational stress state (6–9). In clinical practice, the risk of instrument failure is mainly determined by bending and torsional stresses (10, 11). Canal curvature is the predominant risk factor for increased bending stresses, and this cannot be influenced by the clinician (12–14). Torsional stresses are proportional to the compression force applied by the operator to the handpiece (15) and the width of the contact area between the canal walls and the instrument cutting blade (16, 17). These significantly increase if the canal cross section is smaller than that of the instrument noncutting tip (18, 19). Although bending stresses are significant for cyclic fatigue, instrument failure is chiefly caused by excessive torsional stresses (20), and the clinician can drastically reduce these by creating a smooth glide path by either manual or mechanical preflaring (18–20). Previous studies suggest that mechanical instrumentation with the NiTi rotary PathFile (PF; Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) represents an easier and less invasive method to provide an adequate glide path (21).

The new ProGlider (PG, Dentsply Maillefer) single-file system has been recently introduced to perform a mechanical glide path (22) (Fig. 1). Its exclusive design and mechanical features enable the glide path to be created by a single instrument of 1 size (tip size = 0.16 mm). Additional features include a progressive taper (.02 at tip level up to .085) with a cutting surface of 18 mm. To perform a glide path, the PG must be used in continuous rotation (suggested settings 300 rpm with a 2–5.2 Ncm torque). Because of its progressively tapered design, the instrument also provides a preliminary preflaring of the middle and coronal portions of the canal.

Dedicated endodontic motors for use in any rotary NiTi system must maintain a constant rotational speed, limit torque, and keep the instrument stress state within constant and acceptable levels (23). The handpiece is capable to withstand the lateral pressure on the revolving instrument by increasing the torque, without decreasing its speed and cutting efficiency (24). Thus, the engine increases torque when the instrument undergoes an increased workload in order to keep a constant speed (23, 24). Variations in torque result in different electrical power consumption by the endodontic engine (25, 26). Therefore, electric power consumption can be considered a reliable surrogate parameter to evaluate the stress state of the instrument during shaping (15, 25, 26). The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of a mechanical glide path performed with rotary PFs and the PG on the electric power consumption of the endodontic engine during root canal shaping with ProTaper Next X1 (Dentsply Maillefer) in simulated root canals.

From the *Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin, Dental School, Endodontics, Turin, Italy; and Departments of [†]Electrical Engineering and [‡]Mechanics, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.

Address requests for reprints to Dr Damiano Pasqualini, via Nizza, 230–10126 Torino, Italy. E-mail address: damiano.pasqualini@unito.it 0099-2399/\$ - see front matter

Copyright © 2014 American Association of Endodontists.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2014.08.011>




Figure 1. PG NiTi rotary system. A single instrument of 1 size (016, .02) and a progressive taper (up to .085) with an active part of 18 mm available in 3 different lengths: 21, 25, and 31 mm.

Materials and Methods

Forty standardized ISO 015 (apical), .02 taper, 40° curvature, 16-mm working length (WL) Endo Training Blocks (Dentsply Maillefer) were used for this study. Sample size calculation was performed in G*Power 3.1.4 (Kiel University, Keil, Germany) to set study power at 80%. One expert operator, previously calibrated for pecking speed and pressure on the handpiece, performed all the instrumentation phases of this study. The Endo Training Block canals were scouted up to the WL with a #10 stainless steel K-File (Dentsply Maillefer) and randomly assigned to 1 of 2 groups:

1. The PF group ($n = 20$): The mechanical glide path was performed with PF rotary instruments #1 (013, .02) and #2 (016, .02) according to the manufacturer’s instructions before using ProTaper Next X1 at the WL.
2. The PG group ($n = 20$): The mechanical glide path was performed with PG rotary single files (016, .02 at tip level, with progressive taper up to .085) before using ProTaper Next X1 at WL.

The mechanical glide path was performed using Glyde (Dentsply Maillefer) as a lubricating agent and an endodontic engine (X-Smart, Dentsply Maillefer) with a 16:1 contra-angle at the suggested setting (300 rpm on display, 5 Ncm). New instruments were used for each Endo Training Block.

Prepared specimens from both groups were shaped with a new ProTaper Next X1 rotary file (017, .04) at the WL. The endodontic motor used for shaping was the Tecnika digital motor (ATR, Muggiò, Italy) with a 16:1 reduction handpiece. In all cases, the speed of rotation was set to 300 rpm and the torque to 5.2 Ncm. The endodontic motor was connected to a digital wattmeter (WT 20130 Digital Power Meter; Yokogawa, Tokyo, Japan) and an electronic schedule in order to evaluate the electric power consumption required to reach the full WL. The electronic schedule was designed to quantify and subtract the electrical and mechanical power disturbances. The electrical power consumption (mW/h), number of pecking motions, and time (s) required to reach the full WL with a ProTaper Next X1 was calculated for every specimen belonging to the 2 groups (PF and PG). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality revealed a normal data distribution, and differences between groups were analyzed using the parametric Student *t* test for independent data. Differences were considered statistically significant when P was $<.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS for Windows 12.0 software package (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL).

Results

No damage or separation of PF and PG instruments occurred during the glide path phase. The PF system (#1 and #2) required the same number of total passes to reach apex ($n = 1 + 2$, respectively) as the PG single file ($n = 3$). Electrical power consumption during the shaping operations with ProTaper Next X1 was significantly different between the groups ($P = .0001$). The endodontic motor consumed 4.89 mW/h (standard deviation = .52) and 4.16 mW/h (standard deviation = .56) in the PF and PG groups, respectively (Table 1). The mean time required to reach the full WL with ProTaper Next X1 was also significantly different between the 2 groups ($P = .0001$) (Table 1). The mean time required to complete the shaping operation with ProTaper Next X1 in the PF group was 7.99 seconds compared with 5.91 seconds in the PG group. Pecking motion was not statistically different between groups (Table 1). No instrument unwinding or failure was recorded during the shaping operations with ProTaper Next X1.

Discussion

The risk of instrument failure is a concern when using NiTi rotary instruments, particularly the potential difficulty of removing instrument fragments and the perceived adverse prognostic effect of this procedural complication (5, 27). Prediction of this risk is a continued source of debate. After initial canal scouting with manual K-files to determine the first WL and to guarantee the foraminal patency, the creation of a glide path is mandatory to increase the shaping performances of NiTi rotary or reciprocating instruments (17, 18, 28). Instrument life is strictly related to the stress state, and failure may result from incorrect or excessive use (6–9, 29). A manual or mechanical glide path is necessary to reduce the effect of torsional stresses along the canal and the risk of instrument failure (5, 16–18). In order to avoid instrument failure from excessive torque, the root canal diameter should be larger than, or at least equal to, the noncutting tip of the first shaping instrument used. Previous studies have reported that the mechanical glide path is more effective at maintaining the original canal anatomy than the manual glide path with K-Files (21, 30). Moreover, the mechanical glide path may be less time-consuming and is associated with a lower prevalence and severity of postoperative pain, making it particularly suitable for inexperienced clinicians (21, 31).

This study compared PF and PG systems for performing the mechanical glide path. The electric power consumption required by the endodontic engine during canal root shaping for the full WL with ProTaper Next X1 was recorded in simulated root canals for both systems. ProTaper Next X1 is a new generation rotary shaping instrument designed to perform the same coronal and middle root canal shaping with a single file as the first 2 ProTaper Universal S1 and S2 instruments. Consequently, it may benefit from a preflaring of the middle and coronal portion of the root canal in order to decrease torsional stresses. Although results obtained from resin blocks do not fully reflect those in real teeth, where dentin is involved, the use of simulated root canals has shown the advantages of providing standardized experimental conditions as a reproducible and widely used model (3, 21, 32). Specific endodontic engines for NiTi rotary instruments have been developed (23, 24) to keep the same rotational speed (33, 34) by controlling the applied torque. NiTi rotary shaping instruments with a variable taper are designed to cut dentin in correspondence of their larger cross sections and require a larger torque (15), mainly because of dentin hardness, root canal anatomy, and the presence or absence of adequate preflaring (21, 23–25, 33, 34). The torque applied to the endodontic file driven in a continuous rotary mode is proportional to the power consumption of the endodontic engine (26). As a

TABLE 1. Electric Power Consumption (mW/h), Time (s), and Number of Pecking Motions Required to Reach the Full Working Length with ProTaper Next X1 in Simulated Root Canals after Glide Path by PathFile (PF) or ProGlider (PG)

Measure	PF group (n = 20)	PG group (n = 20)
Electric power consumption (mW/h)		
Mean	4.88	4.15
SD	0.51	0.56
SEM	0.11	0.12
Lower 95% CI	4.64	3.89
Upper 95% CI	5.13	4.41
Pecking motions (n)		
Median	4	4
Time (seconds)		
Mean	7.99	5.91
SD	1.73	1.28
SEM	0.38	0.28
Lower 95% CI	7.18	5.30
Upper 95% CI	8.80	6.51

CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation; SEM, standard error of mean.

consequence, power consumption is a representative parameter of the instrument life (15, 25, 26) if instrument failure caused by excessive torque is avoided.

The resin block glide path in the PF group was performed mechanically with PFs 1 and 2 before shaping with ProTaper Next X1 according to the manufacturer's instructions. In the PG group, the PG system was used, which is a single instrument made of M-Wire NiTi alloy with a progressive taper and a square cross section characterized by a semiactive tip in order to ensure superelasticity properties and a cutting surface of 18 mm (Fig. 1). As well as creating the glide path, the PG system may also create preliminary root canal middle and coronal preflaring because of its progressive taper (.02 up to .085), potentially decreasing shear stresses for every type of NiTi rotary shaping instrument. Preliminary preflaring by the PG system thereby reduces the NiTi instrument work during shaping. Preflaring is the preliminary enlargement of the root canal, usually in the coronal and middle portions, which has previously required the use of curved instruments to reach the full WL (1, 17). The glide path creates a smooth canal tunnel, usually with a small taper (.02), to prevent instrument blockage or taper lock (18–21). Because the tip size of PG is 0.16 mm, the instrument is recommended for ProTaper Next X1 (tip size = 0.17 mm) and may be suitable for any subsequent NiTi rotary shaping instrument with a similar tip size.

The present study suggests that, because of its progressive taper, the NiTi rotary PG achieves a greater preflaring of the coronal and middle portions of the root canal compared with PFs 1 and 2. This results in decreased electric consumption and stress state supported by ProTaper X1 during shaping. In addition, the time required to reach the full WL with ProTaper Next X1 was statistically lower in the PG group compared with the PF group. However, the required number of pecking motions did not differ between groups. No difference in the total number of passes was noted between PF and PG during glide path creation. However, it is expected that PG may require higher energy consumption because of its greater tapered design. The greater stress that PG could encounter during operation should be compensated by its high-performing M-Wire alloy. Moreover, several studies have shown that root canal preflaring might allow WL files to more consistently reach the apical foramen, significantly increasing the precision of electronic apex locators to determine the real WL (35).

In conclusion, our results confirm the capability of PG to perform a glide path as well as middle and coronal preflaring, reducing the amount of stress stored by ProTaper Next X1 during shaping. Further

research is required to find a valid and quantitative indicator of instrument life that can register and record the stress stored by each instrument during shaping, thereby indicating the appropriate moment to discard it in clinical practice.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr Alessandra De Sanctis and Dr Lucia Ciaccio (Post-graduate Master Course in Clinical and Surgical Micro-Endodontics, University of Turin Dental School) for their active cooperation. The authors thank Dentsply-Maillefer for the donation of the instruments used in this study.

E.B. declares financial involvement (patent licensing arrangements) with Dentsply Maillefer with direct financial interest in the materials discussed in this article.

The authors deny any conflicts of interest related to this study.

References

- Peters OA. Current challenges and concepts in the preparation of root canal systems: a review. *J Endod* 2004;30:559–67.
- Gambill JM, Alder M, del Rio CE. Comparison of nickel-titanium and stainless steel hand-file instrumentation using computed tomography. *J Endod* 1996;22:369–75.
- Hülsmann M, Peters OA, Dummer P. Mechanical preparation of root canals: shaping goals, techniques and means. *Endod Topics* 2005;10:30–76.
- Kuhn G, Jordan L. Fatigue and mechanical properties of nickel-titanium endodontic instruments. *J Endod* 2002;28:716–20.
- Parashos P, Messer HH. Rotary NiTi instrument fracture and its consequences. *J Endod* 2006;32:1031–43.
- Yared GM, Bou Dagher FE, Machtou P. Cyclic fatigue of Profile rotary instruments after simulated clinical use. *Int Endod J* 1999;32:115–9.
- Zuolo ML, Walton RE. Instrument deterioration with usage: nickel-titanium versus stainless steel. *Quintessence Int* 1997;28:397–402.
- Al-Sudani D, Grande NM, Plotino G, et al. Cyclic fatigue of nickel-titanium rotary instruments in a double (S-shaped) simulated curvature. *J Endod* 2012;38:987–9.
- Gambarini G. Cyclic fatigue of Profile rotary instruments after prolonged clinical use. *Int Endod J* 2001;34:386–9.
- Alapati SB, Brantley WA, Svec TA, et al. SEM observations of nickel-titanium rotary endodontic instruments that fractured during clinical use. *J Endod* 2005;31:40–3.
- Berutti E, Chianducci G, Gaviglio I, Ibba A. Comparative analysis of torsional and bending stresses in two mathematical models of nickel-titanium rotary instruments: ProTaper versus ProFile. *J Endod* 2003;29:15–9.
- Loizides AL, Kakavetsos VD, Tzanetakos GN, et al. A comparative study of the effects of two nickel-titanium preparation techniques on root canal geometry assessed by microcomputed tomography. *J Endod* 2007;33:1455–9.
- Ounsi HF, Salameh Z, Al-Shalan T, et al. Effect of clinical use on the cyclic fatigue resistance of ProTaper nickel-titanium rotary instruments. *J Endod* 2007;33:737–41.
- Pruett JP, Clement DJ, Carnes DL Jr. Cyclic fatigue testing of nickel-titanium endodontic instruments. *J Endod* 1997;23:77–85.
- Blum JY, Machtou P, Ruddle C, Micallef JP. Analysis of mechanical preparations in extracted teeth using ProTaper rotary instruments: value of the safety quotient. *J Endod* 2003;29:567–75.
- Roland DD, Andelin WE, Browning DE, et al. The effect of preflaring on the rates of separation for 0.04 taper nickel titanium rotary instruments. *J Endod* 2002;28:543–5.
- Berutti E, Negro AR, Lendini M, Pasqualini D. Influence of manual preflaring and torque on the failure rate of ProTaper rotary instruments. *J Endod* 2004;30:228–30.
- Patiño PV, Biedma BM, Liébana CR, et al. The influence of a manual glide path on the separation rate of NiTi rotary instruments. *J Endod* 2005;31:114–6.
- Peters OA, Peters CI, Schönenberger K, Barbakow F. ProTaper rotary root canal preparation: assessment of torque and force in relation to canal anatomy. *Int Endod J* 2003;36:93–9.
- Sattapan B, Nervo GJ, Palamara JE, Messer HH. Defects in rotary nickel-titanium files after clinical use. *J Endod* 2000;26:161–5.
- Berutti E, Cantatore G, Castellucci A, et al. Use of nickel-titanium rotary PathFile to create the glide path: comparison with manual preflaring in simulated root canals. *J Endod* 2009;35:408–12.
- ProGlider + WaveOne and ProGlider + ProTaper Next product information. Dentsply Maillefer. Available at: http://www.dentsplymaillefer.com/#/218x624/line_218x7950/product_218x9225/. Accessed June 15, 2014.
- Yared GM, Bou Dagher FE, Machtou P. Influence of rotational speed, torque and operator's proficiency on ProFile failures. *Int Endod J* 2001;34:47–53.

24. Marzouk MA, Simonton AL, Gross RD. *Operative Dentistry in Modern Theory and Practice*, 1st ed (Ishiyaku Euramerica Dental Series). St Louis, MO: Ishiyaku Euramerica; 1997:71.
25. Blum JY, Cohen A, Machtou P, Micallef JP. Analysis of forces developed during mechanical preparation of extracted teeth using Profile NiTi rotary instruments. *Int Endod J* 1999;32:24–31.
26. Deshpande MV. *Electric motors Applications and Controls*. New Delhi, India: PHI Learning Private Ltd; 2010.
27. Parashos P, Gordon I, Messer HH. Factors influencing defects of rotary nickel-titanium endodontic instruments after clinical use. *J Endod* 2004;30:722–5.
28. Berutti E, Paolino DS, Chianducci G, et al. Root canal anatomy preservation of Wave-One reciprocating files with or without glide path. *J Endod* 2012;38:101–4.
29. Shen Y, Cheung GS, Bian Z, Peng B. Comparison of defects in ProFile and ProTaper systems after clinical use. *J Endod* 2006;32:61–5.
30. Pasqualini D, Bianchi CC, Paolino DS, et al. Computed micro-tomographic evaluation of glide path with nickel-titanium rotary PathFile in maxillary first molars curved canals. *J Endod* 2012;38:389–93.
31. Pasqualini D, Mollo L, Scotti N, et al. Postoperative pain after manual and mechanical glide path: a randomized clinical trial. *J Endod* 2012;38:32–6.
32. Kum KY, Spångberg L, Cha BY, et al. Shaping ability of three ProFile rotary instrumentation techniques in simulated resin root canals. *J Endod* 2000;26:719–23.
33. Gambarini G. Cyclic fatigue of nickel-titanium rotary instruments after clinical use with low- and high-torque endodontic motors. *J Endod* 2001;27:772–4.
34. Sattapan B, Palamara JE, Messer HH. Torque during canal instrumentation using rotary nickel-titanium files. *J Endod* 2000;26:156–60.
35. Ibarrola JL, Chapman BL, Howard JH, et al. Effect of preflaring on Root ZX apex locators. *J Endod* 1999;25:625–6.